

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/554,314	04/19/2006	Christoph Hock	37998-237479	2670
<sup>26694</sup> VENABLE LI	7590 05/03/2007		EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 34385			WANG, CHANG YU	
WASHINGTON, DC 20043-9998			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			. 1649	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	05/03/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<u>.</u>						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/554,314	HOCK ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Chang-Yu Wang	1649				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10/2	<u>4/05</u> .					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims		·				
4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority document						
<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the prior</li> <li>application from the International Burea</li> </ol>	•	ed in this National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	•	ed.				
	·					
Attachment(s)	_					
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> </ol>	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal I					

Application/Control Number: 10/554,314 Page 2

Art Unit: 1649

## Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1. In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-10 and 18, drawn to a method of monitoring an immunotherapy in a subject suffering a neurodegenerative disease.

Group II. claim(s) 11-17, drawn to a kit of monitoring an immunotherapy.

- 2. The inventions listed as Groups I-II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:
- 3. The 1<sup>st</sup> claimed invention is drawn to a method of monitoring an immunotherapy in a subject suffering a neurodegenerative disease, which is anticipated by prior art references. EP1172378 disclosed a method of a method of monitoring an immunotherapy in a subject suffering a neurodegenerative disease (see p. 3, [0016]-[0028], p. 4, [0029]-[0031], Example 1, [0038] to p. 5, [0041], EP1172378), which meets the limitation of the 1<sup>st</sup> claim. In addition, as found in the International Search Report, the Invention of the Group I was found to have no special technical feature that defined the contribution over the prior art of Du et al. (p. 801 abstract, and p. 802, material and method. Neurology, 2001. 57: 801-805) and Dodel et al. (p. 253, abstract; p. 253, 2<sup>nd</sup>

Application/Control Number: 10/554,314

Art Unit: 1649

col., last paragraph to p. 254, 1st col. 3rd paragraph, Annals of Neurology, 2002. 52: 253-256) teach a method of monitoring an immunotherapy in a subject suffering a neurodegenerative disease. Claim 1 is anticipated by EP1172378, Du et al. and Dodel et al.. Therefore, claim 1 does not recite a special technical feature, defined by the PCT rules as a feature that defines a contribution over the prior art. Since the 1st claimed invention has no special technical feature, it cannot share a special technical feature with the other claimed inventions. Thus, Applicant's inventions do not contribute a special technical feature when view over the prior art, they do not have a single inventive concept and so lack unity of invention.

Page 3

- In addition, Group I is directed to a technical feature of a method of monitoring an 4. immunotherapy in a subject suffering a neurodegenerative disease. Group II is directed to a technical feature of a kit of monitoring an immunotherapy. Therefore, the above Inventions do not share a common special technical feature as they are directed to different technical features. Accordingly, Groups I-II are not so linked by the same or a corresponding special technical feature within meaning of PCT Rule 13.1 so as to form a single general inventive concept.
- 5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143). In order to be fully responsive, Applicant is required to elect a single group from designated Groups I-II as set forth above to which the claims will be

Application/Control Number: 10/554,314

Art Unit: 1649

restricted, even though the requirement is traversed. The subject matter for examination will be restricted to the extent of the subject matter of the elected group.

6. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims.

Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder.

All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double

Art Unit: 1649

patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

- 7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 8. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this general application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1600.

Papers relating to this application may be submitted to Technology Center 1600, Group 1649 by facsimile transmission. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). Should applicant wish to FAX a response, the current FAX number for Group 1600 is (571) 273-8300.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chang-Yu Wang, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (571) 272-4521. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday and every other Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:30 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by

Page 6 Application/Control Number: 10/554,314

Art Unit: 1649

telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Janet Andres, Ph.D., can be reached at (571) 272-0867.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

**CYW** April 24, 2007